

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,650	01/26/2004	Pieter Van Der Meulen	A23417C	1190
24738 7590 08/16/2007 PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
			DEBELIE, MITIKU W	
SAN JOSE, CA	IMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG . CA 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,650	VAN DER MEULEN, PIETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mitiku Debelie	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of						
<u>'</u> = '	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
* *	-					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 22 - 43 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 22 - 43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	÷ .					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	$\underline{4}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square of n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) ☐ Interview S -948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,650

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 22, 23, 25, 27 31, 34 39 and 42 43 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gustman (U.S. Patent Number 5,813,014).

Regarding claim 22, Gustman discloses a method of enabling to play at least one of audio- and video-archive content in a home network environment, the method comprising: providing a Consumer Electronics (CE) device comprising (see column 1, lines 11 – 17): a user interface (see Fig. 2, KEYBOARD, MOUSE, column 1, lines 21 – 22) that facilitates a retrieval of archive content, wherein the archive content can reside in an archive storage device (see Fig. 3, archive server 306) for being operably coupled to the CE device in operational use; and a playback device that is enabled to render the archive content (see column 1, lines 23 – 25).

Regarding claim 23, Gustman teaches a method wherein the CE-device is enabled to be operationally coupled to a second device (Fig. 3, archive server

Application/Control Number: 10/765,650

Art Unit: 2621

306) in the home network environment, and wherein at least one of the CE-device and the second device can, at least partly, execute software that enables: receiving the content data from a content source; receiving a content identifier associated with the content data (see column 8, lines 29 - 50); processing the content data to produce the archive content (see column 5, lines 53 - 57); accumulating the archive content at a respective storage location in the archive storage device (see column 8, lines 51 - 52) and cataloging the content data by associating the storage location to the content identifier (see column 9, lines 10 - 24).

Regarding claim 25, Gustman teaches a method wherein the CE device comprises a retriever, operably coupled to the archive storage device, that facilitates a selection of at least one of the archive content and the content data, based on information associated with the identifier of the content data (see column 1, lines 26 – 28).

Regarding claim 27, Gustman teaches a method wherein the content source is a storage device (see column 8, lines 29 – 50).

Regarding claim 28, Gustman teaches a method wherein the archive content comprises MPEG compression (see column 8, lines 47 – 50).

Regarding claim 29, Gustman teaches a method wherein the software also enables storing the content data, for subsequent processing to produce the archive content (see column 8, lines 51 – 52).

Regarding claim 30, Gustman teaches a method wherein the cataloging associates one or more information items to the identifier (see column 8, lines 29

- 33); and the retriever facilitates the selection based also on the one or more information items (see column 8, lines 39 – 41, column 9, lines 9 - 24).

Regarding claim 31, Gustman teaches a method wherein the retriever includes a graphic user interface that facilitates the selection (see column 16, lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 34, Gustman teaches a method wherein the second device comprises a Personal Computer (see column 1, lines 15 – 17).

Regarding claim 35, claim 35 recites, "A method of enabling to process at least one of audio- and video-content data in a home network environment, the method comprising providing software that enables: receiving content data from a content source; receiving a content identifier associated with the content data; processing the content data to produce archive content; accumulating the archive content at a respective storage location in an archive storage device; and cataloging the content data by associating the storage location to the content identifier, wherein the software is enabled to be, at least partly, executed in the home network environment that comprises a Consumer Electronics (CE) device and a second device." This claim reads on claim 22 and 23 above.

Regarding claim 36, claim 36 recites, "The method of claim 35, wherein CE device comprises: a user interface that facilitates a retrieval of the archive content, wherein the archive content can reside in the archive storage device for being operably coupled to the CE device; and a playback device that is enabled to render the archive content." This claim reads on 22 above.

Regarding claim 37, grounds for rejecting claim 24 apply for claim 37 in its entirety.

Regarding claim 38, grounds for rejecting claim 25 apply for claim 38 in its entirety.

Regarding claim 39, grounds for rejecting claim 30 apply for claim 39 in its entirety.

Regarding claim 42, grounds for rejecting claim 34 apply for claim 42 in its entirety.

Regarding claim 43, grounds for rejecting claim 27 apply for claim 43 in its entirety.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustman (U.S. Patent Number 5,813,014) as applied to claims 22, 23, 25, 27 31, 34 39 and 42 43 above, and in view of Bardon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,179,487).

Regarding claim 24, note the discussion of Gustman in claim 22 above. Gustman does not teach a method of determining whether the content data has been previously catalogued, based on the content identifier, and cataloging the content data only if the content data has not been previously catalogued. Bardon et al., from a related field of endeavor, teaches a method of determining whether the content data has been previously catalogued based on the content identifier, and cataloging the content data only if the content data has not been previously catalogued (see column 5, lines 41 - 53).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of determining the presence of a content in an archive before adding the content to the archive as taught by Bardon to the method of Gustman in order to avoid having duplicate data in the archive as a way of lowering storage and retrieval time.

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustman (U.S. Patent Number 5,813,014) as applied to claims 22, 23, 25, 27 – 31, 34 – 39 and 42 - 43 above, and in view of official notice.

Regarding claim 26, note the discussion of Gustman in claim 22 above.

Gustman does not teach a method wherein the playback device is enabled to render the archive content in an audio form while the processing the content data to form the archive content is taken place.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,650

Art Unit: 2621

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take advantage of the multitasking capability of the multimedia recorder/reproducer (a computer system) to render audio output of some form while archiving is taking place. Official notice is taken.

6. Claim 32 – 33 and 40 – 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustman (U.S. Patent Number 5,813,014) as applied to claims 22, 23, 25, 27 – 31, 34 – 39 and 42 - 43 above, and in view of Moguel et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,243,761).

Regarding claim 32, note the discussion of Gustman above, Gustman does not teach a method of reproduction wherein the device comprises a portable electronic device. Moguel, from the same field of endeavor, teaches a method wherein the reproduction device comprises a portable device (see column 6, lines 17 – 20).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use portable reproducing device to offer mobility to the user.

Regarding claim 33, grounds for rejecting claim 32 apply for claim 33. A portable device can easily be mounted on an automobile.

Regarding claim 40, grounds for rejecting claim 32 apply for claim 40 in its entirety.

Regarding claim 41, grounds for rejecting claim 33 apply for claim 41 in its entirety.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mitiku Debelie whose telephone number is (571) 270 1706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00 - 5:00 ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (571) 272 7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MD 13/08/2007

MEHRDAD DASTOURI

MEHHDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600

for Thai Tran